# Consultation Response Form Building Regulations Part L & F Review – Stage 2B

Changes to Part L (conservation of fuel and power) and Part F (ventilation) of the Building Regulations for non-domestic buildings and mitigating overheating in new residential buildings

This consultation is aimed primarily at firms, individuals and their representative bodies within construction and construction-related industries and the building control bodies that enable the building control system to operate. Specific elements may be of interest to members of the public.

This document is the final stage of a three-part consultation about proposed changes to the Building Regulations. The stage 1 consultation ran between December 2019 and March 2020. Stage 2A ran between November 2020 and February 2021.

You can email your response to the questions in this consultation to: enquiries.brconstruction@gov.wales

If you are responding in writing, please make it clear which consultation and which questions you are responding to:

#### Building Regulations Part L/F Review – Stage 2B

Written responses should be sent to:

Building Regulations, Welsh Government, Cathays Park, Cardiff, CF10 3NQ.

If you have any queries on this consultation, please email: enquiries.brconstruction@gov.wales or telephone: 0300 062 8144.

#### **Data Protection**

Any response you send us will be seen in full by Welsh Government staff dealing with the issues which this consultation is about. It may also be seen by other Welsh Government staff to help them plan future consultations.

The Welsh Government intends to publish a summary of the responses to this document. We may also publish responses in full. Normally, the name and address (or part of the address) of the person or organisation who sent the response are published with the response. This helps to show that the consultation was carried out properly. If you do not want your name or address published, please tick the box below. We will then blank them out.

Names or addresses we blank out might still get published later, though we do not think this would happen very often. The Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 allow the public to ask to see information held by many public bodies, including the Welsh Government. This includes information which has not been published. However, the law also allows us to withhold information in some circumstances. If anyone asks to see information we have withheld, we will have to decide whether to release it or not. If someone has asked for their name and address not to be published, that is an important fact we would take into account. However, there might sometimes be important reasons why we would have to reveal someone's name and address, even though they have asked for them not to be published. We would get in touch with the person and ask their views before we finally decided to reveal the information.

Confidentiality
Responses to consultations may be made public on the internet or in a report.
If you do not want your name and address to be shown on any documents we produce please indicate here

### **CONSULTATION FORM**

Amendments to statutory guidance	
Date:	
Your Name:	Elinor Weekley
Your Position (if applicable):	Director
Your Organisation (if applicable):	Royal Society of Architects in Wales (RSAW)
Email / Telephone Number:	rsaw@riba.org
Your address:	Studio 111, The Creative Quarter, Morgan Arcade, Cardiff, CF10 1AF

Type of Organisation: Choose one of the following:	Select one
Builder / Developer	
Small/medium builder	
Volume house builder	
Designer / Engineer /Surveyor	
Local Authority	
Building Control Approved Inspector	
Architect	
Manufacturer/supply chain	
Energy Assessor	
Energy sector	
Construction professional	
Property Manager / Housing Association / Landlord	
Building Occupier/ Resident	
Other interested party (please specify)	Professional body

Our aim is that buildings constructed to the Part L 2025 Standard will be capable of becoming carbon neutral over time as the electricity grid and heat networks decarbonise. Do you agree that the outline of the 2025 Standard in this chapter meets this aim?

a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	

Please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative suggestions.

Yes, but we disagree with the premise of the question. If the grid and heat networks become zero carbon in time, then the buildings they service become de facto zero carbon in operation.

We feel that the statements made at 2.3.18 are a better reflection of the stated aims of the 2025 Standard.

#### **Question 2**

We believe that developers will typically deploy heat pumps and heat networks to deliver the low carbon heating requirement of the Part L 2025 Standard where practical. What are your views on this and in what circumstances should other low carbon technologies, such as direct electric heating or hydrogen, be used?

We agree and feel that further encouragement should be given for other products and technologies to help achieve the stated aims of the 2025 Standard.

The question states "that developers will typically deploy heat pumps and heat networks to deliver the low carbon heating requirement of the Part L 2025 Standard *where practical*." We would like to know what would happen where this was not practical?

#### **Question 3**

Do you agree that some non-domestic building types are more suitable for low carbon heating and hot water, and that some non-domestic building types are more challenging?

a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.

Do you agree with the allocation of building types to space and water heating demand			
types, as presented in Table 2.1 of this consultation document?			
	a. Yes		
b. No			
c. Unsure X			
If you answered no please explain your reasoning, including how different building			

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning, including how different building types should be allocated.

We agree in principle with the categorisation, but we would urge flexibility if a proposed building does not conform to the characteristics of its typical building type. For example, some industrial process buildings may have a heating demand suitable for heat pumps and a hot water demand suitable for point-of-use or heat pump. In this example, we feel it should be possible for the building to be classed as type 1 even though its building type should be type 3.

#### **Question 5**

Do you think the Part L 2025 Standard should introduce low carbon space <i>heating</i> for		
buildings with Type 1 or Type 2 demand (bu	illdings that have space heating demand	
more suitable for heat pumps)?		
a. Yes in 2025 – our proposed date	X	
b. No – Another date (please specify)		
c. Unsure		
Please explain your reasoning.		

#### **Question 6**

Do you think the Part L 2025 Standard should introduce low carbon space <i>heating</i> for		
buildings with Type 3 demand (buildings that have space heating demand less suitable		
for heat pumps)?		
a. Yes in 2025	X	
b. No – Another date (please specify)		
c. Unsure		
Please explain your reasoning.		

Do you think the Part L 2025 Standard should introduce low carbon water <i>heating</i> for buildings with Type 1 or Type 3 demand (buildings that have water heating demand more suitable for point-of-use heaters or heat pumps)?		
a. Yes in 2025 – our proposed date X		
b. No – Another date (please specify)		
c. Unsure		
Please explain your reasoning.		
Question 8		

Do you think the Part L 2025 Standard should introduce low carbon water <i>heating</i> for buildings with Type 2 demand (buildings that have water heating demand less suitable		
for point-of-use heaters or heat pumps)?		
a. Yes in 2025	X	
b. No – Another date (please specify)		
c. Unsure		
Please explain your reasoning.		

We would welcome any further suggestions, beyond those provided in this
consultation, for improving the modelling process; Part L and Part F compliance; and
the actual energy performance of non-domestic buildings. Please provide related
evidence.

What level of uplift to the energy efficiency standards for non-domestic buildings in the			
Building Regulations should be introduced in 2021?			
a. Option 1 – average 18% CO <sub>2</sub>			
reduction			
b. Option 2 – average 28% CO <sub>2</sub>			
reduction (this is the			
Government's preferred option)			
c. No change			
d. Other level of uplift (please			
specify)			
e. Unsure			
Please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative			
suggestions where applicable.			

Do you agree with the way that we are proposing to apply primary energy as the principal performance metric?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.		

### **Question 12**

Do you agree with using CO2 as the secondary performance metric?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.		

### Question 13

Do you agree with the approach to calculating CO2 and primary energy factors,		
referred to in paragraph 3.5.7 of this consultation document?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative suggestions.		

### **Question 14**

Do you agree with the proposals for natur	al gas being assigned as the heating fuel for	
any fuels with a worse CO2 emission factor than natural gas?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or		
alternative suggestions.		

	a natural gas heating system in the notional
building when electricity is specified as a	nealing ruer?
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
	reasoning and provide supporting evidence or
alternative suggestions.	
Question 16	
	eatment of domestic hot water in the notional
building?	
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your	reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.
Question 17	
Do you agree with the proposals for the o	details of the district heating system for the
notional building described when connec	ting to an existing heat network, as
presented in the draft NCM modelling gu	ide?
a. Yes	
b. No, they give too much of an	
advantage to heat networks	
c. No, they do not give enough of	
an advantage to heat networks	
d. No, I disagree for another	
reason	
e. Unsure	
	olain your reasoning and provide supporting
evidence or alternative suggestions.	Jan your reasoning and provide supporting
evidence of alternative suggestions.	

Do you agree with the proposal for connecting to a new heat network, as presented above?		
a. Yes		
b. No, they give too much of an		
advantage to heat networks		
c. No, they do not give enough of		
an advantage to heat networks		
d. No, I disagree for another reason		
e. Unsure		
If you answered no (b, c or d), please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative suggestions.		

Do you agree with the proposed changes to the National Calculation Methodology		
Modelling Guide and activity database?		
a. Yes		
b. Yes, but additional changes		
should be made		
c. No		
d. Unsure		
If you answered b or c, please explain your reasoning and provide alternative		
suggestions.		

### Question 20

We would welcome any further suggestions for revising the outputs from	SBEM,	which
would enable easier checking by building control on building completion.	Please	
provide related evidence.		

Do you agree with the proposals for limiting heat gains in non-domestic buildings?	
a. Yes	
b. No, they go too far	
c. No, they don't go far enough	X
d. No, I disagree for another reason	
e. Unsure	
If you answered no (b, c or d), please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.	

Do you agree with the proposed minimum standards for fabric performance in new non-domestic buildings as presented in Table 3.5 of this consultation document?	
a. Yes	
b. No, the standards go too far	
c. No, the standards do not go far enough	X
d. No, I disagree for another reason	
e. Unsure	
If you answered no (b, c or d), please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative suggestions.	

#### **Question 23**

	Do you agree with the proposed improvement in the minimum standards for new or	
	replacement windows in existing non-domestic buildings which are domestic in	
character?		
	a. Yes	
	b. No	X

c. Unsure

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative suggestions.

We would prefer a U-value of below 1.2, rather than 1.4 as stated.

Do you agree with the further guidance or retained thermal elements?	n reducing moisture risks when upgrading
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
	easoning and provide supporting evidence or
alternative suggestions.	sassimily and provide supporting evidence of
anomaine enggeeneme	
Question 25	
Do you agree with the draft guidance in p Document L, volume 2: buildings other th	
infiltration when carrying out work to exist	
a. Yes	ang non domodio bandingo.
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	l easoning
ii you ariswered no, please explain your i	easoning.
Question 26	
Do you agree that the limiting U-value for	rooflights in new and existing non-domestic
buildings should be based on a rooflight in	
paragraph 4.4 of draft Approved Docume	
dwellings?	<b>3</b>
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	l pasaning
ii you answered no, please explain your i	easoning.
Question 27	
	buildings, as detailed in paragraph 4.1 of draft
values in new and existing non-domestic Approved Document L, volume 2: building	buildings, as detailed in paragraph 4.1 of draft
values in new and existing non-domestic Approved Document L, volume 2: building a. Yes	buildings, as detailed in paragraph 4.1 of draft
values in new and existing non-domestic  Approved Document L, volume 2: building a. Yes b. No	buildings, as detailed in paragraph 4.1 of draft
values in new and existing non-domestic  Approved Document L, volume 2: building a. Yes b. No c. Unsure	buildings, as detailed in paragraph 4.1 of draft gs other than dwellings?
values in new and existing non-domestic  Approved Document L, volume 2: building a. Yes b. No	buildings, as detailed in paragraph 4.1 of draft gs other than dwellings?

Do you agree with the newly proposed minimum efficiencies for natural gas, oil and LPG boiler and domestic hot water system installations in new non-domestic buildings in Section 6 of draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?		
a. Yes	L, volume 2. buildings other than dwellings!	
b. No, the standards go too far		
c. No, the standards do not go far		
enough		
d. Unsure		
If you answered no (b or c), please explai	n your reasoning.	
Question 29		
Do you agree with the proposed set of standards for air distribution systems for new		
non-domestic buildings in Section 6 of draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings		
other than dwellings?		
3		
a. Yes		
b. No, the standards go too far		
c. No, the standards do not go far		
enough		
d. Unsure		

Do you agree with the minimum efficacy proposals for lighting in new non-domestic buildings in Section 6 of draft <i>Approved Document L</i> , <i>volume 2: buildings other than</i>	
dwellings?	
a. Yes	
b. No, the standards go too far	
c. No, the standards do not go far	
enough	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.	

If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.

a. Yes	
b. No, the standards go too far	
c. No, the standards do not go far	
enough	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no (b or c), please explai	n your reasoning.
, , , , , ,	,
Overtion 22	
Question 32	
Do you agree with the proposals for impro	oving the commissioning guidance and the
	domestic buildings in Section 8 and 9 of draft
Approved Document L, volume 2: building	
a. Yes	
b. Yes, but additional changes	
should be made	
c. No	
d. Unsure	
If you answered (b) or (c), please explain	your reasoning and provide alternative
suggestions.	your reasoning and provide alternative
Suggestions.	
L	
Question 33	
Do you agree with the guidance proposal	s for adequate sizing and controls of building
	uildings, as detailed in Sections 5 and 6 of
draft Approved Document L, volume 2: bu	<del>-</del>
a. Yes	
b. No, I do not agree with providing	
guidance on this	
c. No, the guidance should be	
improved	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no (b or c), please explai	n vour reasoning.
(3 3 3), (3 3 3 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5	,
1	

Do you agree with the proposals for cooling in new non-domestic buildings in Section 6 of draft *Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings*?

Do you agree with the proposal that wet space heating systems in new buildings should be designed to operate with a flow temperature of 55°C or lower?	
a. Yes, through a minimum	
standard set in paragraph 5.9 of the <i>Approved Document L</i> ,	
volume 2: buildings other than	
dwellings	
b. Yes, through carbon and primary	
energy credit in SBEM	
c. Yes, by another means	
d. No, the temperature should be	
below 55°C	
e. No, this standard should not be	
applied to all new buildings	
f. No, I disagree for another reason	
g. Unsure	
Please explain your reasoning.	
Question 35	

Do you agree with the proposals to clarify	, rationalise and simplify the guidance for
building services in new non-domestic bui	ldings, and to incorporate the standards of
the Non-Domestic Building Services guida	ance into the main body of the draft Approved
Document L, volume 2: buildings other that	an dwellings?
a Ves	

a. Yes
b. No
c. Unsure

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.

#### **Question 36**

Do you agree with the proposals to simplify the requirements in the Building Regulations for the consideration of high-efficiency alternative systems in new non-domestic buildings?

a. Yes b. No

c. Unsure

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.

Do you agree with the proposals for replacement of fixed building services in existing		
non-domestic buildings as detailed in paragraphs 5.4 to 5.7 of draft Approved		
Document L, volume 2: buildings other th	an dwellings?	
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.		

Do you agree with the newly proposed mi LPG boiler and domestic hot water system	nimum efficiencies for natural gas, oil and ninstallations in existing non-domestic	
buildings in Section 6 of draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than		
dwellings?		
a. Yes		
b. No, the standards go too far		
c. No, the standards do not go far		
enough		
d. Unsure		
If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.		

### **Question 39**

Should minimum boiler efficiency standards in existing non-domestic buildings still benefit from relaxations through the use of heating efficiency credits?	
a. Yes, boiler installations should continue to benefit from heating efficiency credits	
b. No, boiler installations should no longer benefit from heating efficiency credits (the Government's proposal)	
c. Unsure	
If you answered yes, please explain your reasoning.	

Do you agree with the proposed set of standards for air distribution systems for existing		
non-domestic buildings in Section 6 of draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings		
other than dwellings?		
a. Yes		
b. No, the standards go too far		
c. No, the standards do not go far		
enough		
d. Unsure		
If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.		

	proposals for lighting in existing non-domestic cocument L, volume 2: buildings other than
dwellings?	_
a. Yes	
b. No, the standards go too far	
c. No, the standards do not go far	
enough	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no (b or c), please explai	n your reasoning.

### **Question 42**

Do you agree with the proposals for cooling in existing non-domestic buildings in		
Section 6 of draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?		
a. Yes		
b. No, the standards go too far		
c. No, the standards do not go far		
enough		
d. Unsure		
If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.		

Do you agree with the proposals for requirements relating to the commissioning and providing information to building owners for existing non-domestic buildings?		
a. Yes	<u> </u>	
b. Yes, but additional changes		
should be made		
c. No		
d. Unsure		
If you answered (b) or (c), please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.		

Do you agree with the guidance proposals for adequate sizing and controls of building
services systems in existing non-domestic buildings, as detailed in Sections 5 and 6 of
draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?

0

If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.

#### **Question 45**

Do you agree with the proposal that when whole wet space heating systems (i.e. boiler and radiators) are replaced in existing non-domestic buildings the replacement system should be designed to operate with a flow temperature of 55°C or lower?

should be designed to operate with a flow	temperature of 55°C or lower?
a. Yes, through a minimum	
standard set in paragraph 5.9 of	
Approved Document L, volume 2:	
buildings other than dwellings	
b. Yes, through carbon and primary	
energy credit in SBEM	
c. Yes, by another means	
d. No, the temperature should be	
below 55°C	
e. No, this standard should not be	
applied to all existing buildings	
f. No, I disagree for another reason	
g. Unsure	

Please explain your reasoning.

Yes, but we are unsure whether it's a, b or c.

Do you agree with the proposals to restructure the guidance for building services in existing non-domestic buildings, and to incorporate the standards of the Non-Domestic	
Building Services guidance into the main body of the draft <i>Approved Document L</i> ,	
volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?	
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.	

Do you agree the Government should continue to provide guidance for minimum building services efficiencies in existing non-domestic buildings, if the standard does not go significantly further than the Ecodesign regulations?	
a. Yes	X
<ul> <li>b. No, the Ecodesign regulations are sufficient</li> </ul>	
c. No	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning.	

#### **Question 48**

Do you agree with the changes made to sand the updates to external references in	simplify, rationalise and clarify the guidance, Appendix E and Appendix F, in draft
Approved Document L, volume 2: building	···
paragraph 3.12.1 of the consultation docu	iment?
a. Yes	
b. Yes, but not with the changes to	
the supplementary guidance	
<ul> <li>c. Yes, but not with the external</li> </ul>	
references	
d. No	
e. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning. Please do not repeat comments on	

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning. Please do not repeat comments on the changes made to simplify, rationalise and clarify the guidance for Building Services which you have already provided under Questions 38, 51 and 52.

Do you agree that the measures in Tables D.1 and D.2 of Appendix D of draft		
Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings are likely to be		
technically, functionally and economically feasible under normal circumstances?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.		

Do you agree with the proposals for relaxation factors for modular and portable buildings, as detailed in Tables 2.2 and 2.3 of draft *Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?* 

<u> </u>	
a. Yes	
b. No, the requirements go too far	
c. No, the requirements do not go	X
far enough	
d. Unsure	

If you answered no (b or c), please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence or alternative suggestions.

We are concerned that temporary buildings have a habit of becoming permanent. There shouldn't be the ability to continually extend the relaxation period.

Do you think that the Pulse methodology should be an approved means of		
demonstrating airtightness for non-domestic buildings?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure	X	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide supporting evidence.		

Do you agree that we should adopt an independent approved airtightness testing	
methodology such as the CIBSE draft methodology for non-domestic buildings?	
a. Yes, and the CIBSE	X
methodology is appropriate	
b. Yes, but with a methodology	
other than CIBSE	
c. No, an independent approved	
airtightness methodology	
shouldn't be adopted.	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.	
	-
Ougetion 53	

Do you agree with the proposal for guidance on the calibration of devices that carry out airtightness testing in new and existing non-domestic buildings?	
a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.	

Do you agree with the proposed approach to energy sub-metering, as detailed in Section 5 of draft Approved Document L, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?	
X	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.	

Do you agree with the proposedwellings?	sals for transitional arrangement	ts for buildings other than
a. Yes	X	
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered no, please e	xplain your reasoning and provi	de alternative suggestions.

Do you agree with the proposed guidance Document F, volume 2: buildings other th	e in Section 1 and Section 2 of draft <i>Approved</i> an dwellings on minimising the ingress of
external pollutants and on the proper insta	allation of ventilation systems in non-domestic
buildings?	
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
ii you answered no, piease explain your r	easoning and provide alternative suggestions.
Question 57	
Do you agree with the proposed guidance systems in non-domestic buildings?	e for reducing noise nuisance for ventilation
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
	easoning and provide alternative suggestions.
Question 58	
	e provided in paragraphs 1.18 to 1.26 of the uildings other than dwellings on the installation
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	easoning.
Question 59	
Do you agree that the guidance in Appen- volume 2: buildings other than dwellings p minimum ventilation standards?	
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	

Do you agree with the list of industry guid Document F, volume 2: buildings other th	ance presented in Section 1 of draft Approved
a. Yes	X
b. Yes, but additional guidance should be provided	
c. No	
d. Unsure	
Please explain your reasoning and where guidance.	relevant provide alternative suggestions for

### **Question 61**

Do you agree with the list of references to and Appendix D in the draft <i>Approved Dodwellings</i> ?	industry guidance presented in Appendix C cument F, volume 2: buildings other than
a. Yes	X
b. No, the Government should	
amend the list of references	
c. No, for another reason	
d. Unsure	
If you answered no (b or c), please explai	n your reasoning and provide alternative
suggestions.	

Do you agree with the proposals to simpli Document guidance in draft <i>Approved Dodwellings</i> as outlined in paragraph 4.3.7 of	cument F, volume 2: buildings other than
a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	easoning and provide alternative suggestions.

, ,	entilation of car parks and offices, as detailed <i>F, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?</i>
a. Yes	
b. Yes, but some improvements can be made	
c. No, the guidance should be significantly changed	
d. Unsure	
spread of infection are detailed in section	riate questions on measures to prevent the 4.4 of this consultation document.
Question 64	
1 .	on 3 of draft Approved Document F, volume 2: acing an existing window with no background
a. Yes	
b. No, the standards do not go far	X

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.

We don't believe it is good practice to use trickle ventilation (due to heat loss leading to poor energy performance). We believe permanent and controllable ventilation should be encouraged (whether through mechanical or manual means (i.e.by opening windows)).

#### **Question 65**

enough

d. Unsure

c. No, the standards go too far

Do you agree with the proposal to provide	e a completed commissioning sheet to the
building owner and associated guidance i	n Section 4 of draft <i>Approved Document F</i> ,
volume 2: buildings other than dwellings?	
a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	easoning.
	-

Do you agree with the proposed standards for provision of ventilation for common	
spaces in offices, shown in paragraph 1.3	6 of draft Approved Document F, volume 2:
buildings other than dwellings?	
a. Yes	
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your re	easoning.

#### **Question 67**

Do you agree that extract ventilation in baaccommodation should be capable of open	athrooms, WCs, and other sanitary erating in a continuous mode if necessary?
a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	easoning.

#### **Question 68**

Do you agree with the proposal for indoor air quality monitoring in offices as outlined in paragraphs 1.39 to 1.41 of draft *Approved Document F, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings*?

a. Yes	
b. Yes, but with qualifications	X
c. No	
d. Unsure	

If you answered b or c, please explain your reasoning and provide any suggestions for guidance if applicable.

At 1.40 d, the guidance states "any audible alarms should be able to be permanently deactivated". In this instance, we feel there should be an alternative (perhaps a visual cue – bleeping light etc.) to demonstrate that CO2 levels are too high, and that action should be taken (e.g. opening a door/window).

If applicable, please provide any suggestions for guidance for indoor air quality monitoring (e.g. CO2 monitoring) in non-domestic buildings.

paragraph 1.46 of draft <i>Appl</i> dwellings?	oved Document F, volume 2: buildings other than
a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please e	kplain your reasoning.

#### **Question 71**

Do you agree with the proposed minimum ventilation standard and indoor air quality monitoring in occupiable rooms in all types of non-domestic buildings where singing, loud speech or aerobic exercise may take place, where low temperature and low humidity environments may exist, or where members of the public may gather in large groups? These are outlined in paragraphs 1.27 and 1.28 of draft *Approved Document F, volume 2: buildings other than dwellings.* 

a. Yes	X
b. Yes, with qualifications	
c. No	
d. Unsure	

If you answered b or c, please explain your reasoning and provide any suggestions for guidance if applicable.

Do you think the mitigating measures to protect against infection via aerosols would be suitable for any non-domestic buildings other than those stated in the Approved		
Document guidance?		
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
If you answered yes, please explain your	reasoning and provide evidence to support	
this.		

Do you agree with the extension of the scope to cover residential (institutional) and residential (other) buildings described in Table 0.1 in the draft Approved Document S?	
a. Yes	
<ul> <li>b. Yes, but they should be expanded to include more building types and/or existing buildings</li> </ul>	We feel buildings in which people must visit (offices, for example) should be included. Additionally, if major works are being carried out on an existing building with overheating problems, we feel they should be addressed at this time.
c. No, they should only include flats and houses	
d. No, I disagree for another reason	
e. Unsure	
Please explain your reasoning.	

Do you agree with the proposed changes	to the simplified method as outlined in Table
1.2 of draft Overheating Approved Docum	nent?
a. Yes	
b. No	X
c. Unsure	
	_

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning.

We feel this is too simplistic, simply having glazing areas and U-values is too crude. Consideration should be given to other factors, for example:

- Which way the façade faces in relation to the sun
- Chilled structure
- Ambient loop heat networks

#### **Question 75**

Do you agree with the proposals to ensure		
buildings when the overheating strategy is in use, and the proposed guidance in		
Section 2 of the draft Overheating Approv	red Document?	
a. Yes	X	
b. Yes, but with amendments to the		
guidance		
c. No, I do not agree with limiting		
noise when the overheating		
strategy is in use		
d. Unsure		
If you answered b or c, please explain you	ur reasoning and provide alternative	
suggestions.		

Do you agree with minimising the ingress strategy is in use, and that the external popular and t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
a. Yes	X
b. Yes, but with amendments to the guidance	
<ul> <li>No, I do not agree with minimising the ingress of external pollutants when the overheating strategy is in use</li> </ul>	
d. Unsure	
If you answered b or c, please explain you suggestions.	ur reasoning and provide alternative

Do you agree with the proposals on security in Section 2 of the draft <i>Overheating Approved Document</i> in new residential buildings?	
a. Yes	X
b. No	
c. Unsure	
If you answered no, please explain your r	easoning and provide alternative suggestions.

#### **Question 78**

Do you agree with the protection from fall	ing guidance proposed in Section 3 of the
draft Overheating Approved Document?	
a. Yes	
b. No	X
c. Unsure	
	·

If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.

We agree with the protections, but we don't understand why this appears in the Overheating Approved Document when these protections are already listed under Part K.

	on from falling proposed in Section 2 of the	
draft Overheating Approved Document sh	ould also include requirements to avoid over-	
stretching? (such a maximum distance be	tween the inside face of the wall and the	
maximum position of the window handle?		
<ul> <li>a. Yes – please also provide</li> </ul>		
evidence/reasoning		
b. No		
c. Unsure	X	
If you answered no, please explain your reasoning and provide alternative suggestions.		
We would be interested to see data or evidence to illustrate why these proposed measures are being introduced.		
_		

### **Question 80**

Are there any further issues which affect usability that should be included in the draft		
Overheating Approved Document?	•	
a. Yes		
b. No		
c. Unsure		
Please explain your reasoning and provide	le supporting evidence.	
There is no mention of windows that are too tall for use.		

Please provide any feedback you have on the impact assessment here, including the assumptions made and the assessment of the potential costs and benefits of the proposed options we have made.	
proposed epitorio we have made.	